A Prospective, Open-label Study to Compare the Efficacy and the Safety of Topical Loteprednol Etabonate and Topical Flurbiprofen Sodium in Patients with Post-Operative Inflammation after Cataract Extraction

SHESHIDHAR G. BANNALE, PUNDARIKAKSHA H.P., SOWBHAGYA H.N.

ABSTRACT

Purpose: To study the effect of the topical Non-Steroidal Anti Inflammatory Drug (NSAID), Flurbiprofen 0.03%, as an alternative to the topical steroids for the postoperative control of inflammation in cataract surgeries.

Methods: The effect of the topical NSAID, flurbiprofen sodium 0.03%, was studied and compared with that of the topical steroid – Loteprednol etabonate 0.5% suspension (as eye drops) in a prospective, open labelled study. Both the groups (20 patients each) were similar in the baseline parameters. The postoperative inflammatory response following the standard, small incision, extra capsular cataract extraction was assessed in both the groups for 28 post-operative days. The parameters which were considered for the study were conjunctival hyperaemia, ciliary congestion, corneal oedema, cells in the anterior chamber,

aqueous flare and ocular pain. The severity of the postoperative inflammatory responses for both the drugs was graded on the post-operative days 1, 7, 14, 21 and 28 and it was statistically analyzed.

Results: The 2 groups did not differ statistically in the effect of the treatment for any of the variables, which included aqueous cells, flare, ciliary congestion and conjunctival congestion (p< 0.001). Both the drugs were well tolerated and no severe adverse Drug Reactions (ADRs) were caused by the topical NSAID and the topical steroid.

Conclusion: The topical NSAID, Flurbiprofen, is as effective as the topical corticosteroid, Loteprednol and it can be used as an alternative in the routine postoperative treatment following uncomplicated cataract surgeries.

Key Words: Anti-inflammatory drugs, Cataract extraction, Post-operative inflammation, Loteprednol

INTRODUCTION

An ocular inflammation results from various causes; traumatic and nontraumatic. The traumatic causes may be surgical or nonsurgical. The nontraumatic causes include infective, immunological, chemical and radiological causes, etc [1].

A non-infectious postoperative ocular inflammation may occur, following various ocular surgical procedures like cataract surgery, strabismus surgery, trabeculoplasty, refractive surgery, penetrating keratoplasty, etc [2-4]. The physical trauma which is associated with an ocular surgery may induce an inflammatory response due to the release of various inflammatory mediators and the recruitment of neutrophils and macrophages. The prostaglandins (PGs) which are mainly involved as the inflammatory mediators also disrupt the Blood Aqueous Barrier (BAB), they produce changes in the intraocular pressure and they cause intraoperative miosis [5]. Cataract surgeries (extra capsular cataract extraction) are frequently associated with postoperative ocular inflammation because of the tissue injury which releases PGs from the uveal tissues and pigment material from the traumatized iris [6]. Although the recent technical advances and the refinements in cataract surgeries such as phacoemulsification techniques, small incision surgeries, and foldable Intraocular Lenses (IOLs), have significantly decreased the extent of the ocular injury, they have not totally eliminated the trauma-induced synthesis and the release of inflammatory mediators. Therefore, most of the patients still experience some degree of postoperative inflammation, pain, or both after the surgery [7].

Commonly, corticosteroids are used for the control of the inflammation but these steroids are associated with adverse reactions, both acute and chronic, like an increased Intraocular Pressure (IOP)-glaucoma, a posterior subcapsular cataract, a delay in/retardation of the wound healing, a decreased wound strength, an increased susceptibility for infections (bacterial ,viral, fungal and parasitic), ptosis, exophtholmus, pseudotumour cerebri, keratocyte apoptosis, corneal melting syndrome, scleromalacia perforanse, scleral staphyloma, crystalline keratopathy, extrocular muscle imbalance and a retinal or a choroidal embolic phenomenon [8,9].

Hence, this prospective, open label, interventional study was done to compare the efficacy and the tolerability of the topical NSAID, Flurbiprofen with those of the topical steroid -Loteprednol in the post-operative inflammation.

METHODOLOGY

After obtaining the approval of and clearance from the institutional ethical committee, 40 patients (20 in the flurbiprofen group and 20 in the Loteprednol group) with senile cataracts, who were undergoing small incision suture less cataract surgeries with posterior chamber intra ocular lens implantation (SISCS-PCIOL) were recruited for the study. All the study medications were purchased from the local hospital pharmacy and the prednisolone eye drop was kept as the rescue medication for any untoward effect. No study related procedures were started before taking a written informed consent from the participants. The procedures which were followed during the study were in accordance with the ethical standards which were laid down by Indian Council of Medical Research (ICMR)'s guidelines for biomedical research on human subjects (2006) and with the Declaration of Helsinki 1975 –which was revised in 2000.

The inclusion and the exclusion criterion were as follows;

Inclusion Criteria

1. The patients of either gender who had undergone SISCS-PCIOL- uncomplicated

Exclusion Criteria

- 1. The patients with known / suspected allergy to the NSAIDs
- 2. The patients who developed intra-operative complications
- 3. A pre-existing ocular inflammation
- 4. A previous intraocular surgery
- 5. The patients who received other topical medications
- 6. One-eyed individuals
- 7. The patients with IDDM
- 8. The patients who were aged < 25 years
- 9. The patients who had used NSAIDs in the past 2 weeks

The Study Procedure

A written informed consent was obtained from the participants after fully explaining to them, the procedure and its consequences and the right to withdraw at any point of period, in their own languages.

The study medications were administered by the instillation of 1 drop into the operated eye, 4 times daily for 28 days, starting from the day of the surgery. Tropicamide eye drops (1%) was used for all the patients preoperatively, 1-2 drops every 30 minutes for 2 hours. The patients also received gatifloxacin eye drops (0.3%) 4 times daily, starting a day before the surgery and it was continued for 4 weeks. The patients were examined on the days - 1, 7, 14, 21 and 28 after the surgery, and grading for the inflammation was done by using a 4-point scale which ranged from 0-3. The analgesia was graded by the patient's subjective assessment of the post-operative pain/discomfort by using a visual analogue scale (which was graded as 0-10).

Grading: Aqueous flare (slit lamp examination) [4]

Grade 0 – Absent, Grade 1– Mild (barely detected), Grade 2– Moderate (iris and lens details seen) and Grade 3– Severe (iris and lens not visible).

Cells in the anterior chamber (slit lamp examination) [10]

Grade 0– None Grade 1– 1-15 cells Grade 2–15-25 cells Grade 3– >25cells

Corneal odema (slit lamp examination) [4]

Grade 0– absent Grade 1– Mild Grade 2–Moderate Grade 3–severe

Conjunctival congestion [4]

Grade 0– None Grade 1– Mild (some vessels injected) Grade 2–Moderate (diffusely injected) Grade 3– Severe (intense injection)

Ciliary congestion

Grade 0- Nil

Grade 1– Mild (the presence of a ciliary flush which is visible on the slit lamp examination)

Grade 2–Moderate (ciliary congestion which is visible with the naked eye)

Grade 3-Severe (intense congestion)

Analgesia [11]

The analgesia was graded by the patient's subjective assessment of the post-operative pain/discomfort by using a visual analogue scale (VAS) which was graded as 0-10, where 0 = no pain and 10 = worse imaginable pain.

Dosage: The study drugs were instilled into the affected eye, one drop four times a day – for the respective patient groups for 4 weeks. The patient compliance was monitored by using the "daily drug reminder chart" during the follow-up visits. Follow up examinations were done on the 7th, 14th, 21st and the 28th days to assess/grade the study parameters.

RESULTS

At the end, all the patients were included in the analysis, as there were no dropouts from the study. All the patients' inflammatory scores were found to be comparable at the baseline on the use of the single unpaired t test.

The demographic characteristics: the mean age of the pooled patient population was 64.55+6.33, the groups were age matched (with P=0.311) and the gender distribution was statistically similar between the groups (with P=0.109).

The study parameters like the aqueous-flare, cells in the anterior chamber, ciliary congestion and corneal oedema were of mild grade as has been depicted in the [Table/Fig-1], which subsided by visit-3 and were statistically comparable in both the groups. Whereas, the conjunctival hyperaemia persisted up to visit-4 in a mild form in both the groups and it was statistically comparable, as has been depicted in [Table/Fig-2].

The subjective parameter, ocular pain, as was assessed by using VAS, was present till visit 4 and it was comparable in both the groups.

All the patients showed good compliance and they tolerated the medications. No serious adverse reactions were reported, as has been depicted in [Table/Fig-3]. The mild stinging and the irritation which were experienced by the patients after the instillation of the medications, were of a transient nature and they were self-limited.

	Group I Flurbiprofen	Group II Loteprednol	P value	T test
Aqueous flare				
Visit 1	0.95±0.51	1±0.56	0.770	0.295
Visit 2	0.35±0.49	0.3±0.47	0.714	0.370
Visit 3	0	0	-	-
Visit 4	0	0	-	-
Visit 5	0	0	-	-
Cells in anterior chamber				
Visit 1	1.15±0.58	1.05±0.6	0.599	0.531
Visit 2	0.2±0.41	0.3±0.47	0.744	0.330
Visit 3	0	0	-	-
Visit 4	0	0	-	-
Visit 5	0	0	-	-
Corneal edema				
Visit 1	0.3±0.47	0.45±0.51	0.520	0.650
Visit 2	0.05±0.22	0	0.324	1.000
Visit 3	0	0	-	-
Visit 4	0	0	-	-
Visit 5	0	0	-	-
Conjunctival hyperaemia				
Visit 1	1.95±0.51	1.8±0.41	0.312	1.024
Visit 2	1.05±0.22	1.05±0.22	1.000	0.00
Visit 3	0.8±0.41	0.7±0.47	0.478	0.717
Visit 4	0.15±0.37	0.15±0.37	1.000	0.000
Visit 5	0.1±0.31	0±0	0.154	0.1453
Ciliary congestion				
Visit 1	1±0.32	1.25±0.44	0.324	1.024
Visit 2	0.3±0.47	0.5±0.51	0.520	0.650
Visit 3	0	0	-	-
Visit 4	0	0	-	-
Visit 5	0	0	-	-
Pain (VAS)				
Visit 1	4.45±0.89	4.55±0.76	0.704	0.383
Visit 2	2.1±0.97	1.35±0.81	0.053	2.000
Visit 3	0.9±0.55	0.65±0.59	0.389	0.872
Visit 4	0.25±0.44	0	-	-
Visit5	0	0	-	-

[Table/Fig-1]: Mean Scores – Subjective & Objective Parameters





DISCUSSION

A wide range of anti-inflammatory drugs are available to suppress ocular inflammations, usually with the use of a topical application and occasionally with the use of a systemic administration. These include steroids, NSAIDs, antihistaminics, mast cell stabilizers and immunomodulators.

The steroidal anti-inflammatory agents include natural, semisynthetic and synthetic glucocorticoids with powerful and nonspecific antiinflammatory, antiallergic and immunosuppressant actions which result as the overall consequence of multiple mechanisms of action, which are mediated through specific intracellular receptors, which modulate the gene expression and affect all the components of the inflammatory and the immune responses [12]. These are seen as, a reduction in the recruitment and the activation of the inflammatory cells, a decrease in the release of various cytokines (ILs, IFNs, TNF α , PAF, etc.), inhibition of phospholipase A₂ by inducing lipocortin synthesis, a decrease in the synthesis of arachidonic acid and its derivative mediators (PGs and LTs), a decrease in the expression of COX-2 and NOS-2, a decrease in the synthesis of the adhesion molecules (EILAM-1, ICAM-1) and a decrease in the T-lymphocyte activation and proliferation. However, the actions of steroids are nonspecific and palliative but not curative, thus suppressing the tissue component of the inflammatory and the autoimmune mechanisms without eradicating the cause.

Steroids were first introduced into the ocular therapy in 1950: the topical formulations as eye drops and the injectable formulations of cortisone acetate in 1951 [8].

In the ophthalmic practice, glucocorticoids are used through various routes, depending upon the site of involvement and the desired steroid concentration at the target tissue or site. Glucocorticoids are readily absorbed from the cornea, conjunctiva and the sclera. However, the ocular penetration of the steroids occurs mainly through the cornea and it depends upon the relative water and the lipid solubility and the particle size, their concentrations, viscosity, pH and tonicity, the presence of other additives (adjuvants, preservatives-methylcellulose, etc), and the condition of the corneal epithelium [8].

The ocular adverse effects of the steroids depend upon the dose and the duration of the steroid therapy, the potency of the steroid, the underlying disease states and the patient susceptibility. In addition, the systemic absorption of the topically applied steroids may also produce systemic adverse effects.

The steroid induced/related ocular adverse effects and the complications include; increased Intraocular Pressure (IOP)-glaucoma, a posterior subcapsular cataract, a delay in/retardation of the wound healing, a decreased wound strength, an increased susceptibility for infections, mydriasis, ptosis, exophtholmus, pseudotumor cerebri, keratocyte apoptosis, corneal melting syndrome, scleromalacia perforanse, scleral staphyloma, crystalline keratopathy, extrocular muscle imbalance and a retinal or a choroidal embolic phenomenon [8,9].

Hence, in this study, the steroid, Loteprednol was compared with the topical NSAID, Flurbiprofen.

Loteprednol, a 'soft' steroid, is less likely to cause steroid related ocular complications, while preserving the useful anti-inflammatory action. Loteprednol is an ester glucocorticoid with a $17-\beta$ chloromethyl ester group and it is rapidly de-esterified to inactive the metabolites in the corneal tissue [2,13]. Hence, it produces lesser systemic adverse effects.

The currently available NSAIDs for topical application on the eye include; flurbiprofen diclofenac, indomethacin, suprofen, ketorolac, nepafenac, bromofenac and amfenac [5].

The anti-inflammatory action of the NSAIDs is primarily due to the inhibition of the cyclooxygenase enzyme (COX-1 and COX-2) and due to a decrease in the biosynthesis and the release of the proinflammatory PGs- PGE_{2} , $PGF_{2\alpha}$ PGD_{2} and PGI_{2} [14]. Additional mechanisms like suppressing the leukocyte motility and chemotaxis, inhibiting the inflammatory cytokines and the free radical scavenging activity, may also contribute to their anti-inflammatory action [5].

The topical NSAIDs provide certain distinct advantages over the topical steroids in ocular inflammation. Apart from being virtually free from the steroid related complications like cataract, glaucoma, an increased susceptibility for infections and a delayed wound healing, they also effectively prevent intraoperative miosis and protect against cystoid macular oedema. In addition, they are more effective than the topical steroids in reestablishing BAB [5].

In the earlier studies which were done, the topical NSAIDs like flurbiprofen ketorolac, bromofenac and diclofenac had been evaluated for their anti-inflammatory actions and the prevention of intraoperative miosis and cystoids macular oedema [15-18].

Most of the published studies had been done in Caucasians and our study was done in the Indian population. We compared the soft steroid Loteprednol with an NSAID. Our results were comparable with those of the western studies, but our study population included only the patients with an uncomplicated form of the postoperative inflammation and the sample size was 40. Hence, there is a need to study a large number of patients in order to have more data and to validate these conclusions .However, steroids being powerful anti-inflammatory agents , they can be preferred in the patients with a severe form of the postoperative inflammation and in patients with complications.

In conclusion, topical Flurbiprofen was comparable to topical Loteprednol and it can be used in reducing the postoperative inflammation in patients who have undergone uncomplicated cataract surgeries.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank Dr. Manjula R, Assistant Professor, Department of Community Medicine, for her assistance in statistical matters.

REFERENCES

- Harry J, Misson G. Immunity, Inflammation and Infection. In: Clinical Ophthalmic Pathology. New Delhi: *Butterworth Heineman*; 2001; 30-113.
- [2] Pavesion CE, Decory HH. The treatment of the ocular inflammatory conditions with Loteprednol etabonate. *Brit J Ophthalmol* 2008; 92:455-59.
- [3] Hayat AK, Abandan KA. Topical diclofenac versus dexamethasone after strabismus surgeries- A blind randomized clinical trial on the antiinflammatory effect and the ocular hypertensive response. *Indian J Ophthalmol* 2007;55:271-75.
- [4] Manjoo SR, Suneetha N, Reji KT, Batt RR. Topical diclofenac sodium for the treatment of postoperative inflammation. *Drugs* 2007;67(9):1291-308.
- [5] Sihota R, Tondon R. Diseases of the Conjunctiva. In: Parson's Diseases of the Eye. 20th ed. Noida (India) :Elsevier; 2008; 155-80.
- [6] Soon-Phaik C, Seng-Ei T, Meenakshi S, Donald TH. The postoperative inflammation: extracapsular cataract extraction versus phacoemulsification. *Journal of Cataract Surgery* 1995;25:1280-85.
- [7] Joseph NS, Robyn Ap, Joyce EJ. Comparison of the efficacy and the safety of ketorolac Tromethaminen 0.5% and prednisolone acetate 1% after cataract surgeries. *J Cataract Refract Surg* 1999;25: 699-704.
- [8] Abelson MB, Butrus S. Corticosteroids in the Ophthalmic Practice. In: Principles and Practice of Ophthalmology. Albert DM, Jakobiec FA, Azar DT, Gragounds, editors, 2nd ed. Philadelphia: WB Saunders Company; 2000; 258-65.vo0-1.
- [9] McGhee Charles NJ, Dean S and Danesh-Meyer H. The locally administered ocular corticosteroids and their benefits and risks. *Drug Safety* 2002;25(1):33-35.
- [10] Nussenblatt RB, Whitcup SM. Examination of the patients with uveitis. In: Uveitis Fundamentals and Clinical Practice. 3rd ed. Mosbay;2004; 54-65.
- [11] DeLoach LJ, Higgins MS, Caplan AB, Stiff JL. The visual analog scale in the immediate postoperative period: the intrasubject variability and the correlation with a numerical scale. *Anesth Analg* 1998;86:102-06.
- [12] Schimmer BP, Parker KL. The adrenocorticotrophic hormoneadrenocortical steroids and their synthetic analogs, Inhibition of the synthesis and action of the adrenocortical hormones. In: Goodman and Gillman's The Pharmacological Basis of therapeutics, Brunton LL. editor,11th ed. New Delhi: Mc Graw Hill;2006; 1587-612.
- [13] Awan MA, Agarwal PK, Watson DG, McGhee Charles NJ, Dutton GN. Penetration of the topical and the subconjunctival corticosteroids into the human aqueous humor and its therapeutic significance. *Brit J Ophthalmol* 2009;33:708-13.
- [14] Burke A, Smyth E, Fitzgerald GA. Analgesic antipyretic agents. In: Goodman and Gillman's Pharmacological Basis of Therapeutics, 11th ed. Brunton LL editor. New Delhi: McGrew Hill; 2006; 671-716.
- [15] Solomon KD, Cheetham JK, DeGryse R, Brint SF, Rosenthal A. The topical ketorolac tromethamine 0.5% ophthalmic solution in the ocular inflammation after cataract surgeries. *Ophthalmology* 2001; 108(2):331-37.
- [16] Miyanaga M, Miyai T, Nejima R, Maruyama Y, Miyata K and Kato S. The effect of the Bromfenac ophthalmic solution on the ocular inflammation following cataract surgeries. *Acta Ophthalmol* 2009; 87(3):300-05.
- [17] Solomon KD, Turkalj JW, Whiteside SB, Stewart JA, Apple DJ. Topical 0.5% Ketorolac vs 0.03% Flurbiprofen for the inhibition of miosis during cataract surgeries. *Arch Ophthalmol*.1997;115:1119-22.
- [18] Reddy MS, Suneetha N, Thomas RK, Battu RR. Topical diclofenac sodium for the treatment of the postoperative inflammation in cataract surgeries. *Indian J Ophthalmol* 2000; 48(3):223-26.

AUTHOR(S):

- 1. Dr. Sheshidhar G. Bannale
- 2. Dr. Pundarikaksha H.P.
- 3. Dr. Sowbhagya H.N.

PARTICULARS OF CONTRIBUTORS:

- 1. Assistant Professor of Pharmacology, S Nijalingappa Medical College Bagalkot, Karnatka, India.
- 2. Prof & HOD, Department of Pharmacology Kempegowda Institute of Medical Science, Bangalore, Karnatka, India.
- 3. Professor of Ophthalmology, Kempegowda Institute of Medical Science, Bangalore, Karnatka, India.

NAME, ADDRESS, E-MAIL ID OF THE CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:

Dr. Sheshidhar G. Bannale, Assistant Professor, Department of Pharmacology, Opp Admin Block, S Nijalingappa Medical College, Navanagar, Bagalkot-587102, Karnataka, India. Phone: 09844479091 E-mail: drshashibannale@yahoo.co.in

FINANCIAL OR OTHER COMPETING INTERESTS: None.

Date of Submission: Jun 26, 2012 Date of Peer Review: Jul 12, 2012 Date of Acceptance: Sep 05, 2012 Date of Publishing: Nov 15, 2012